



SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/782,590	01/13/97	ROSE	S 43/24

JOHN Q MCQUILLAN  
261 MADISON AVENUE  
12TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK NY 10016-2391

EXAMINER	
LINGAR, S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1642	1642
DATE MAILED:	08/16/99

### ADVISORY ACTION

a) ☐ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection

b) ☒ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
- ☒ Appellant's response to the final rejection, filed May 25, 1999, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☒ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Raise the issue of reinstatement of 112 and proposed in Paper #110, section 6(k).

Amendment of claim 71 to recite "moderate" broadens the scope & requires additional search. In claim 77 Depressure "to move by diffusion or convection flow" is not found in amended claim 77 in paper #14 & is not shown as an amendment in Paper #17 but would require additional search and consideration as a new claim as would being 78.

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

for the same reasons, Beattie

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. *for the same reasons, beneath these.*
3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: *are drawn to new matter, not supported in the spec or claims originally filed*
- Claims allowed: None
- Claims objected to: 69
- Claims rejected: 69-83

However:

- Claims rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
- However;
- ☒ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): entire rejection of claims 69 under 35 USC
- 112 para would be withdrawn as drawn to rejection in paper 10, Section 101, 6(L), 6(L) + objection to Claim
4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because as would be
- See attached
5. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. of claims 69
- 35 USC 112

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner
- ☐ Other

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

35 USC 112,  
first paragraph  
in Paper # 8/5,  
Section 11, pages  
9-10